



Robert Greene Sterne  
Jorge A. Goldstein  
David K.S. Cornwell  
Robert W. Esmond  
Tracy-Gene G. Durkin  
Michele A. Cimbala  
Michael B. Ray  
Robert E. Sokohl  
Eric K. Steffe  
Michael Q. Lee  
John M. Covert  
Robert C. Millonig  
Donald J. Featherstone  
Timothy J. Shea, Jr  
Michael V. Messinger  
Judith U. Kim  
Jeffrey T. Helvey  
Eldora L. Ellison

Donald R. Banowitz  
Peter A. Jackman  
Brian J. Del Buono  
Mark Fox Evens  
Vincent L. Capuano  
Elizabeth J. Haanes  
Michael D. Specht  
Kevin W. McCabe  
Glenn J. Perry  
Edward W. Yee  
Grant E. Reed  
Virgil Lee Beaston  
Theodore A. Wood  
Joseph S. Ostroff  
Jason D. Eisenberg  
Tracy L. Muller  
Jon E. Wright  
LuAnne M. DeSantis

Ann E. Summerfield  
Helene C. Carlson  
Cynthia M. Bouchez  
Timothy A. Doyle  
Gabry L. Longworth  
Lori A. Gordon  
Laura A. Vogel  
Bashir M.S. Ali  
Shannon A. Carroll  
Anbar F. Khal  
Michelle K. Holoubek  
Marsha A. Rose  
Scott A. Schaller  
Lei Zhou  
W. Blake Coblenz  
James J. Pohl  
John T. Haran  
Mark W. Rygiel

Michael R. Malek\*  
Carla Ji-Eun Kim  
Doyle A. Siever\*  
Ulrike Winkler Jenks  
Paul A. Calvo  
Robert A. Schwartzman  
C. Matthew Rozier\*  
Shameek Ghose  
Randall K. Baldwin  
Daniel J. Nevriy  
  
**Registered Patent Agents\***  
Karen R. Markowicz  
Matthew J. Dowd  
Mita Mukherjee  
Scott M. Woodhouse  
Peter A. Socarras  
Jeffrey K. Mills

Danielle L. Letting  
Lori Brandes  
Steven C. Oppenheimer  
Aaron S. Lukas  
Gaurav Asthana  
Robert E. Bakin  
Salvador M. Bezos  
  
**Of Counsel**  
Edward J. Kessler  
Kenneth C. Bass III  
Marvin C. Guthrie  
Christopher P. Wrist

\*Admitted only in Maryland  
\*Admitted only in Virginia  
•Practice Limited to  
Federal Agencies

January 4, 2008

**WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER:**  
(202) 772-8645

**INTERNET ADDRESS:**  
JASONE@SKGF.COM

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Art Unit 2873**

**Attn: Mail Stop Amendment**

Re: U.S. Utility Patent Application  
Application No. 10/651,048; Filed: August 29, 2003  
For: **Spatial Light Modulator Using an Integrated Circuit Actuator**  
Inventors: GOVIL *et al.*  
Our Ref: 1857.2010000

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action are the following documents:

1. Fourth Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement;
2. Form PTO/SB/08B (1 sheet) listing two (2) documents (NPL1 and NPL2); and
3. Copies of cited documents (NPL1 and NPL2).

The above-listed documents are filed electronically through EFS-Web.


In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned.

Commissioner for Patents  
January 4, 2008  
Page 2

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Jason D. Eisenberg  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 43,447

JDE/ahw  
Enclosures

DOC#765005\_1.DOC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

GOVIL *et al.*

Appl. No.: 10/651,048

Filed: August 29, 2003

For: **Spatial Light Modulator Using an  
Integrated Circuit Actuator**

Confirmation No.: 8531

Art Unit: 2873

Examiner: Jack Dinh

Atty. Docket: 1857.2010000

**Fourth Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement**

***Mail Stop Amendment***

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Listed on accompanying IDS Forms are documents that may be considered material to the examination of this application, in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicants have listed publication dates on the attached IDS Forms based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent

application does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

Applicants have checked the appropriate boxes below.

- ☐ 1. Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.704(d). Each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.
- ☒ 2. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. No statement or fee is required.
- ☐ 3. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection, or Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.
  - ☐ a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than

three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).

☐ b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).

☐ c. The required fee is provided through online credit card payment authorization in the amount of \$0.00 in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).

☐ 4. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the Issue Fee. The required fee is provided through online credit card payment authorization in the amount of \$0.00 in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p); in addition:

☐ a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than

three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).

- ☐ b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- ☐ 5. The document(s) was/were cited in a search report by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application. Submission of an English language version of the search report that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is provided in satisfaction of the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance. 1138 OG 37, 38.
- ☐ 6. A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3).
- ☒ 7. Copies of documents NPL1-NPL2 are submitted.
- ☐ 8. Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in an IDS that complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)-(c) in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached. 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).


- ☐ 9. It is expected that the examiner will review the prosecution and cited art in the parent application no(s). \_\_\_\_\_ in accordance with MPEP 2001.06(b), and indicate in the next communication from the office that the art cited in the earlier prosecution history has been reviewed in connection with the present application.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed IDS Forms, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

  
Jason D. Eisenberg  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 43,447

Date: 1/4/08  
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934  
(202) 371-2600

DOC#764999\_1.DOC